

FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

1) The Update to the Initial Statement of Reasons

There are no changes to the initial statement of reasons, which is hereby incorporated by reference with the following exception. Attachment A included Vehicle Code section 5014(f), Special Equipment Originals service fee, but did not include the Special Equipment renewal service fee that is also authorized under Vehicle Code section 5014(f). Attachment A also included Vehicle Code section 11515, Salvage Certificates, but did not include the Off Highway Vehicle Salvage Certificates. A revised costing demonstrating the impact of the increase in the California Consumer Price Index on the calculations for each fee is included in Exhibit 7 as Attachment A.

2) Imposition of Mandate on Local Agencies or School Districts

The department's regulatory action adopting Section 423.00 in Article 6.0, Chapter 1, Division 1, Title 13, California Code of Regulations, does not impose any mandate on local agencies or school districts and imposes (1) no cost or savings to any state agency, (2) no cost to any local agency or school district that is required to be reimbursed under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of the Government Code, (3) no other nondiscretionary cost or savings to local agencies, and (4) no costs or savings in federal funding to the state. No studies or data were relied upon to make this determination.

3) Summary of Comments Received and Department Response

The proposal was noticed on August 20, 2004, and made available to the public from August 20, 2004 through October 4, 2004. No comments were received on the regulatory proposal.

4) Determination of Alternatives

No reasonable alternative considered by the department, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the department, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which these regulations are proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed regulations. During the rulemaking process, no alternative that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small business was submitted.